

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

RICARDO CARMICHAELL,)
)
 Plaintiff,) 4:07CV1625
)
)
 v.)
)
)
FEDERAL COURT CLERK'S OFFICE;) MEMORANDUM OPINION
STATE OF MISSOURI; ST. LOUIS)
POLICE DEPARTMENT; BRAD DEDE)
HOWELLETT, CHARLES SHAW;)
JAMES KNAPPENBERG; TEEMOBIL)
PHONE CO.,)
)
)
 Defendants.)
)
_____)

This matter is before the Court on the following seven
pleadings:

Filing No. 10 - petition to amend
and clarify evidence to support
above style cause and the reopening
of previous cause due to now
parties above forged my holly bible
and all that exist and denies my
1st amendment right also through
forgery and fraud;

Filing No. 11 - petition of
evidence, to enlighten court, with
respect to court order, in order to
proceed without forgery and fowel
play to my petition's motion and
entire cause thereof to with within
of truth;

Filing No. 12 - petition for
attachment of evidence to cause,
whereof towith The validity has and
will be challenged by forgery and
faurdulent changes to By the
defendants and parties who's
fingerprints may be on attachments;
Within above and below of entire

litigation under the plenty of
purgery;

Filing No. 13 - petition of proof
of interference through criminal
activity in existence from time of
civil trial preperation and is
ongoing;

Filing No. 14 - petition of request
for evidence;

Filing No. 15 - petition for courts
records, state and federal and
attachment of proof of evidence.

Filing No. 16 - petition of
evidence of continued forgery and
harassment to change legal working,
as to deminish the validaity of
case CV4:07 1625; which ties all
other cause.

(Errors in originals.)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the Court has reviewed
each of these documents. They are incomprehensible and, either
singularly or collectively, fail to comply with this Court's
order of December 4, 2007 (Filing No. 9), are frivolous and fail
to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Accordingly,
plaintiff's complaint will be dismissed without prejudice.
Plaintiff has also failed to file an affidavit in support of his
application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and his application to

so proceed will be denied without prejudice. All other pending motions will be denied as moot. A separate order will be entered in accordance with this memorandum opinion.

DATED this 13th day of February, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lyle E. Strom

LYLE E. STROM, Senior Judge
United States District Court